15 years of Longwave Flux Trends : Roles of CO2, WV, Temperature and clouds, using ERA and AIRS data

Sergio DeSouza-Machado, Larrabee Strow

October 2, 2018

Department of Physics, JCET University of Maryland Baltimore County (UMBC)

Overview

- We are starting to produce long-term trends directly from gridded (time and space) radiances.
- Using an OLR fast model (AER's RRTM) we can compute OLR trends from our retrieved T/Q/cloud, etc trends, and partially validate our observed trends.
- This minimizes bias errors in RRTM (and in our cloud trend assumptions). potentially validate our trends by comparing to CERES OLR trends
- This process is very fast and easy to test in many ways.
- Details on our retrieved thermodynamic and cloud rates (3.20 pm talk by Larrabee Strow)
- Recent interest in Antarctic Fluxes "Unmasking the negative greenhouse effect over the Antarctic Plateau" by Seijas, Taylor, Cai, *Nature 2018* prompted this work as well.

Total TOA Radiances and Fluxes



TOA BT1231

- RRTM : Using TwoSlab clouds, quite approximate.
- $r(v) = f_{ice}r_{ice}(v) + f_{water}r_{water}(v) + f_{overlap}r_{overlap}(v) + f_{clear}r_{clear}(v)$
- Computed flux has a 10 W/m2 bias relative to CERES, mostly latitude independent

Flux Changes : Method

- Start with one averaged profile per zonal latbin, 370 ppm CO₂, 1800 ppb CH₄, 320 ppb N₂O
- Now for next 15 years (180 months between 2002/09 to 2017/08)
 - include UMBC T/ST/Q/O3/cloud trends derived from radiance trends.
 - Add in CO2 change $CO_2(t) = 370 + 2.2/12\delta t$ where $\delta t = (t 2002/08)$ in months, same for CH4, etc.
- Compute RRTM Clear sky and TwoSlab fluxes for all latitude bins/180 months
- Can take the differences to get δ OLR

Geophysical/cloud rates from L1b spectral rates



Comparison to CERES L3 rates

RRTM OLR differences using UMBC trend retrievals.



Errorbars : Put in 5% uncertainity for CO2 rates, and errorbars for T/WV/O3/ST/clouds from Larrabee; will improve this

Flux Changes by RRTM bands: 15 Years

RRTM allows us to break down trends by wavenumber.



Breakdown of OLR Differences by Cause: A



Breakdown of OLR Differences by Cause: B



9

Integrate the previous results over spectral band to get OLR change versus latitude



Total = Cloud/Atm/GHG where Cloud=Water+Ice, GHG=CO₂,CH₄,N₂O, Atm=SurfTemp,T,H₂O,O₃ Errorbars : TBD for next AIRS STM, cloud signal pretty small

Discussion

- This is a validation of our spectral trend \rightarrow geophysical rates
- Need to improve error estimates and cloud geophysical rates
- CO2 flux change contribution < -0.5 of the total flux change (ie reducing the OLR)
- WV flux change contribution is typically very small except at -30 S (-0.5 of the total flux change (ie reducing the OLR)) and at equator where is it -2 of total flux change, leading to overall OLR reduction here
- SurfTemp flux change contribution \sim +0.5 of total flux change (ie increasing the OLR)
- AtmTemp flux change contribution ~ > +1.0 of total flux change (ie increasing the OLR)

Tatm, Tsurf emit more OLR than what GHG (CO2/WV) are trapping In Southern Ocean region, lowered Surf Temps causing net reduction in OLR

Conclusions

- Comparison of our trend retrievals to CERES OLR provides partial validation of our trends.
- RRTM allows us to dissect changes to OLR according to spectral region
- TwoSlab fluxes "not too bad"!
- UMBC Spectral Rates → Thermodynamic+Cloud rates good agreement with OLR rates from CERES.
- Need to work more on errors Note the rates are on order of 0.05 W/m2/yr while initial error estimate is about 5-10 times smaller
- To do list : try MRO clouds in RRTM